arXiv:1110.5378v3 [math.DG] 7 Apr 2012

Stability of spherical collapse under mean curvature flow

Wenbin Kong[†][*]and I.M. Sigal[†][‡]
Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

April, 2012

Abstract

We study the mean curvature flow of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, with initial surfaces sufficiently close to the standard n-dimensional sphere. The closeness is in the Sobolev norm with the index greater than $\frac{n}{2}+1$ and therefore it does not impose restrictions of the mean curvature of the initial surface. We show that the solution of such a flow collapses to a point, z_*, in a finite time, t_*, approaching exponentially fast the spheres of radii $\sqrt{2n(t_*-t)}$, centered at $z(t)$, with the latter converging to z_*.

Keywords: mean curvature flow, evolution of surfaces, collapse of surfaces, asymptotic stability, asymptotic dynamics, dynamics of surfaces, mean curvature soliton, nonlinear parabolic equation.

1 Introduction

We study the behavior of mean curvature flow (MCF) of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with initial conditions close to spheres. Given an initial simple, closed hypersurface M_0 in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, the MCF determines a family $\{M_t|\ t\geq 0\}$ of closed hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, given by immersions $X(\cdot,t):\Omega\to\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, satisfying the following evolution equation:

$$\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}=-H(X)\nu(X), \tag{1}$$

where $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a fixed $n-$dimensional hypersurface, $\nu(X)$ and $H(X)$ are the outward unit normal vector and mean curvature at $X\in M_t$, respectively. We show that if M_0 is close to an Euclidean n-sphere in the norm H^s, $s>\frac{n}{2}+1$, then the solution M_t collapses to a round point in a finite time. Due to the translation and dilation symmetry of (1), it suffices to consider M_0 close to the standard n-sphere (the Euclidean sphere with radius 1 and center at the origin).

The mean curvature flow is the steepest descent flow for the area functional. It arises in applications, such as models of annealing metals [42] and other problems involving phase separation and moving interfaces ([21, 35, 10]). It has been recently successfully applied by Huisken and Sinestrari to topological classification of surfaces and submanifolds ([33], see also [37]). It is closely related to the Ricci and inverse mean curvature flow.

---

[*] This work is a part of this author's Ph.D. thesis.

[†] Supported by NSERC Grant NA7901

[‡] The corresponding author, im.sigal@utoronto.ca

Mean curvature flow was first studied by Brakke [9]. Evans and Spruck [22] constructed a unique weak solution of the nonlinear PDE for certain functions whose zero level set evolves in time according to its mean curvature. Similar results were obtained by Chen, Giga and Goto [12] and by Ambrosio and Soner [4]. The short-time existence in Hölder spaces was proven in [9, 29, 18, 22, 34]. Sharp results on local regularity were established in [50]. Higher codimension mean curvature flows were studied by Mu-Tao Wang [46] (see also [40]). For more results on the existence, uniqueness and regularity of the solution one can see [9, 23, 24, 36, 13].

The question of the long time existence is, as usual, more subtle. Ecker and Huisken [19] showed longtime existence for mean curvature flow in the case of linearly growing graphs. Later they [18] proved that if the initial surface is a locally Lipschitz continuous entire graph over $\mathbb{R}^n$ then the solution will exist for all times.

However, the most interesting aspect of the mean curvature flow is formation of singularities, with two canonical examples being Eucledian spheres or cylinders, collapsing to their center or axis, respectively, their radii evolving as $\sqrt{2n(t_* - t)}$ or $\sqrt{2(n-1)(t_* - t)}$. These two cases suggest collapse to a round point or round line as two possible scenarios of formation of singularities. The former scenario, indeed, showed up in many works, starting with the result, due to Gage and Hamilton [26], who showed that initial convex plane curves shrink to a 'round' point, i.e. approach asymptotically circles of radii $\sqrt{2(t_* - t)}$. Later, Grayson [28] showed that any embedded plane curves always shrink smoothly until they are convex, and then to points by the evolution theorem of convex curves. For higher dimensions the latter result does not hold. In a seminal work, [29], Huisken showed that under mean curvature flow a convex hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, shrinks smoothly to a point, getting spherical in the limit. These result was extended in [30, 31, 32, 47, 49].

It was conjectured by Huisken that starting at a generic smooth closed embedded surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$, the mean curvature flow remains smooth until it arrives at a singularity in a neighborhood of which the flow looks like concentric spheres or cylinders. A part of this conjecture was proved in [14], where it was shown that the only singularities which cannot be perturbed away are spheres and cylinders. For more results see [15, 38, 39]. The present work shows that the collapsing sphere solutions are stable:

Theorem 1. *Let $\Omega = S^n$ be the standard n-dimensional sphere and let a surface M_0, defined by an immersion $x_0 \in H^s(\Omega)$, for some $s > \frac{n}{2} + 1$, be close to S^n, in the sense that $\|x_0 - \mathbf{1}\|_{H^s} \ll 1$. Then there exist $t_* < \infty$ and $z_* \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, s.t. (1) has the unique solution, M_t, $t < t_*$, and this solution contracts to the point z_*, as $t_* \to \infty$. Moreover, M_t is defined by an immersion $x(\cdot, t) \in H^s(S^n)$, with the same s, of the form*

$$x(\omega, t) = z(t) + R(\omega, t)\omega,$$

for some $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $R(\cdot, t) \in H^s(S^n)$, satisfying $z(t) = z_* + O((t_* - t)^{\frac{1}{2a_*}(n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n})})$ and

$$R(\omega, t) = \lambda(t)\Big(\sqrt{\frac{n}{a(t)}} + \xi(\omega, t)\Big), \tag{2}$$

with $\lambda(t), a(t)$ and $\xi(\cdot, t)$ which satisfy

$$\lambda(t) = \sqrt{2a_*(t_* - t)} + O((t_* - t)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2a_*}(1 - \frac{1}{2n})}),$$

$a(t) = -\lambda(t)\dot{\lambda}(t) = a_* + O((t_* - t)^{\frac{1}{2a_*}(1 - \frac{1}{2n})})$ and $\|\xi(\cdot, t)\|_{H^s} \lesssim (t_* - t)^{\frac{1}{2n}}$. Moreover, $|z_*| \ll 1$.

Note that our condition on the initial surface does not impose any restrictions of the mean curvature of this surface

In contrast to the above result, it was shown in [27], that for an open set of initial conditions arbitrary close to an infinite cylinder, the mean curvature flow does not converge to a (round) line, but develops a singularity at a point in a finite time ('neck-pinching'), provided initial conditions have an arbitrary shallow neck. Thus, unlike spheres, the cylinders are not stable under the mean curvature flow. (For earlier results dealing with the neck-pinching for compact (barbell shaped) or periodic (torus-like) surfaces see [2, 3, 7, 1, 8, 17, 22, 12, 16, 30, 44, 43] and references therein.)

The form of expression (2) above is a reflection of a large class of symmetries of the mean curvature flow:

- (1) is invariant under rigid motions of the surface, i.e. $X \mapsto RX + a$, where $R \in O(n+1)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $X = X(u,t)$ is a parametrization of S_t, is a symmetry of (1).
- (1) is invariant under the scaling $X \mapsto \lambda X$ and $t \mapsto \lambda^{-2} t$ for any $\lambda > 0$.

Our approach utilizes these symmetries in an essential way. It uses the rescaling of the equation (1) by a parameter $\lambda(t)$ whose behaviour is determined by the equation itself and a series of differential inequalities for a Lyapunov-type functions.

Remark 1. *If the initial condition x_0 is invariant under the transformation $x_i \to -x_i$ for any $i = 1, \cdots, n+1$, then $z(t) = 0$ and the proof below simplifies considerately.*

This paper is organized as follows. We rescale the equation (1) in Section 2 by introducing collapse variables, designed so that the new equation has global solutions and reformulate the main theorem in terms of the rescaled surfaces. In the same section we present the equation for the surface as a normal graph over a sphere. This equation is derived in Appendix A. In Section 3 we introduce a notion of the 'center' of a surface, close to a unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and show that such a center exists. We will show in Section 8 that the centers $z(t)$ of the solutions to (1) converge to the collapse point, z_*, of Theorem 1. In Section 4 we reparametrize the solutions of the new equation by isolating the leading term and a perturbation. In Section 5 we use the Lyapunov-Schmidt type decomposition to derive equations for the parameters and perturbation. In Section 6 we discuss the spectrum of the linearized equation. In Section 7 we introduce certain Lyapunov functionals and derive differential inequalities for them. These inequalities are used in Section 8 to derive a priori bounds on Sobolev norms of the perturbation. In Section 8 we prove the main theorem.

Notation. The relation $f \lesssim g$ for positive functions f and g signifies that there is a numerical constant C, s.t. $f \leq Cg$.

Acknowledgements

The second author is grateful to D. Knopf for useful discussions. A part of this work was done while the second author was at IAS and while visiting ETH Zürich and Edwin Schrödinger Institute, Vienna.

2 Rescaled equation

Instead of the surface M_t, it is convenient to consider the new, rescaled surface $\tilde{M}_\tau = \lambda^{-1}(t)(M_t - z(t))$, where $\lambda(t)$ and $z(t)$ are some differentiable functions to be determined later, and $\tau = \int_0^t \lambda^{-2}(s)ds$. The new surface is described by y, which is, say, an immersion of some fixed $n-$dimensional hypersurface $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, i.e. $y(\cdot, \tau) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, (or a local parametrization of $\tilde{M}_\tau$, i.e. $y(\cdot, \tau) : U \to M_t$). Thus the new collapse variables are given by

$$y(\omega, \tau) = \lambda^{-1}(t)(X(\omega, t) - z(t)) \text{ and } \tau = \int_0^t \lambda^{-2}(s)ds. \tag{3}$$

Let $\dot{\lambda} = \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial z}{\partial \tau}$ be the τ-derivative of $z(t(\tau))$, where $t(\tau)$ is the inverse function of $\tau(t) = \int_0^t \lambda^{-2}(s)ds$. Using that $\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} + \dot{\lambda} y + \lambda \frac{\partial y}{\partial \tau}\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t} = \lambda^{-2}\frac{\partial z}{\partial \tau} + \dot{\lambda} y + \lambda^{-1}\frac{\partial y}{\partial \tau}$ and $H(\lambda y) = \lambda^{-1}H(y)$, we obtain from (1) the equation for y, λ and z:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \tau} = -H(y)\nu(y) + ay - \lambda^{-1}\frac{\partial z}{\partial \tau} \text{ and } a = -\lambda\dot{\lambda}. \tag{4}$$

In what follows we take Ω to be S^n, the unit sphere centered at the origin. In this case, the equation (4) has static solutions ($a =$ a positive constant, $z = 0$, $y(\omega) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{a}}\omega$).

Standard results on the local well-posedness for the mean curvature flow (see e.g. [41], Theorem 8.3, and also [20, 25]) imply that for an initial condition $y_0 \in C^\alpha$, $\alpha > 1$, and given functions $a(\tau)$, $z(\tau) \in C^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, there is $T > 0$, s.t. (4) has a unique solution, $y \in C^\alpha$, on the time interval $[0, T)$ and either $T = \infty$ or $T < \infty$ and $\|y\|_{C^\alpha} \to \infty$ and $\tau \to T$. Here C^α is the space of $[\alpha]$(= the integer part of α) times differentiable functions on S^n, whose highest derivatives are Hölder continuous with the index $\alpha - [\alpha]$. This result extends also to $H^s(S^n)$ with $s > \frac{n}{2} + 1$.

Our goal is to prove the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let* $\rho_0 \in H^s(S^n)$ *satisfy* $\|\rho_0 - \mathbf{1}\|_{H^s} \ll 1$ *for some* $s > \frac{n}{2} + 1$, *and let* $\lambda_0 > 0$ *and* $|z_0| \ll 1$. *Then* (4) *with initial data* $(y_0 = \rho_0(\omega)\omega, \lambda_0, z_0)$ *has a unique solution* (y, λ, z) *for* $\forall \tau$, *with* $y(\omega, \tau)$ *of the form* $y(\omega, \tau) = \rho(\omega, \tau)\omega$, *with* $\rho(\cdot, \tau) \in H^s(S^n)$, $\rho(\omega, \tau) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{a(\tau)}} + \xi(\omega, \tau)$, *and* $\lambda(\tau)$ *and* $z(\tau)$ *satisfying* $\lambda(\tau) = \lambda_0 e^{-\int_0^\tau a(s)ds}$ *and* $|z(\tau) - z_*| \lesssim e^{-(n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n})\tau}$, *for some* $a(\tau) = a_* + O(e^{-(1 - \frac{1}{2n})\tau})$, *with* $|a_* - n| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ *and* $|z_*| \ll 1$.

This Theorem together with (3) implies Theorem 1 (see Section 8).

In what follows g_{ij} is the standard metric on S^n (induced by the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$) and Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator in this metric. Furthermore we define $(\mathrm{Hess}\,\rho)_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial u^i u^j} - \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial u^k}$, $\Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2}g^{kn}(\frac{\partial g_{in}}{\partial u^j} + \frac{\partial g_{jn}}{\partial u^i} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial u^n})$, and $\nabla^k \rho = g^{km}\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial u^m}$ in a local parametrization $x = x(u)$ of S^n (so that $g_{ij} := \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial u^i}\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial u^j}$). Here and in what follows the summation over the repeated indices is assumed. (Note that $(Hess)_{ij} = \nabla_i \nabla_j$, where $\nabla_i \rho = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial u^i}$ and $(\nabla_i \omega)_j = \frac{\partial \omega_j}{\partial u^i} - \Gamma_{ij}^k \omega_k$.) In the appendix we prove the following

Proposition 3. *Let* $\tilde{M}_\tau = \lambda^{-1}(t)(M_t - z(t))$ *be defined by an immersion* $y(\omega, \tau) = \rho(\omega, \tau)\omega$ *of* S^n *for some functions* $\rho(\cdot, \tau) : S^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$, *differentiable in their arguments and let* $z(\tau) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. *Then* $\tilde{M}_\tau$ *satisfies* (4) *if and only if* ρ *and* z *satisfy the equation*

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \tau} = G(\rho) + a\rho - \lambda^{-1}z_\tau \cdot \omega + \lambda^{-1}\tilde{z}_\tau \cdot \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho}, \tag{5}$$

where $\tilde{z}_{\tau k} = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^k} z^i_\tau,\ z_\tau := \frac{\partial z}{\partial \tau}$ *and*

$$G(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho^2}\Delta\rho - \frac{n}{\rho} - \frac{\nabla\rho \cdot \mathrm{Hess}\,(\rho)\nabla\rho}{\rho^2(\rho^2 + |\nabla\rho|^2)} + \frac{|\nabla\rho|^2}{\rho(\rho^2 + |\nabla\rho|^2)}. \tag{6}$$

3 Collapse center

In this section we introduce a notion of the 'center' of a surface, close to a unit sphere, S^n, in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and show that such a center exists. We will show in Section 8 that the centers $z(t)$ of the solutions M_t to (1) converge to the collapse point, z_*, of Theorem 1. For a closed surface S, given by an immersion $x : S^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we define the center, z, by the relations $\int_{S^n}((x - z) \cdot \omega)\omega^j = 0,\ j = 1, \dots, n + 1$. The reason for this definition will become clear in Section 6. We have

Proposition 4. *Assume a surface* M *is given by an immersion* $x : S^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$*, with* $y := \lambda^{-1}(x - \bar{z}) \in H^1(S^n, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ *close, in the* $H^1(S^n, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$*-norm, to the identity* $\mathbf{1}$*, for some* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ *and* $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$*. Then there exists* $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *such that* $\int_{S^n}((x - z) \cdot \omega)\omega^j = 0,\ j = 1, \dots, n + 1$.

Proof. By replacing x by x^{new}, if necessary, we may assume that $\bar{z} = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$. Let $x \in H^1(S^n, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. The relations $\int_{S^n}((x - z) \cdot \omega)\omega^j = 0\ \forall j$ are equivalent to the equation $F(x, z) = 0$, where $F(x, z) = (F_1(x, z), \dots, F_{n+1}(x, z))$, with

$$F_j(x, z) = \int_{S^n} ((x - z) \cdot \omega)\omega^j,\ j = 1, \dots, n + 1.$$

Clearly F is a C^1 map from $H^1(S^n, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We notice that $F(\mathbf{1}, 0) = 0$. We solve the equation $F(x, z) = 0$ near $(\mathbf{1}, 0)$, using the implicit function theorem. To this end we calculate the derivatives $\partial_{z^i} F_j = -\int_{S^n} \omega^i\omega^j = -\frac{1}{n+1}\delta_{ij}|S^n|$ for $j = 1, \cdots, n + 1$. The above relations allow us to apply implicit function theorem to show that for any x close to $\mathbf{1}$, there exists z, close to 0, such that $F(x, z) = 0$. □

Assume we have a family, $x(\cdot, t) : S^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1},\ t \in [0, T]$, of immersions and functions $\bar{z}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\lambda(t) \in \mathbb{R}^+$, s.t. $\lambda^{-1}(t)(x(\omega, t) - \bar{z}(t))$, in the $H^1(S^n, \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$-norm, to the identity $\mathbf{1}$ (i.e. a unit sphere). Then Proposition 4 implies that there exists $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, s.t.

$$\int_{S^n} ((x(\omega, t) - z(t)) \cdot \omega)\omega^j = 0,\ j = 1, \dots, n + 1. \tag{7}$$

Furthermore, if $y(\omega, \tau) := \lambda^{-1}(t)(x(\omega, t) - z(t)) = \rho(\omega, \tau)\omega$, where $\tau = \tau(t)$ is given in (3), then we conclude that

$$\int_{S^n} \rho(\omega, \tau)\omega^j = 0,\ j = 1, \dots, n + 1. \tag{8}$$

To apply the above result to the immersion $x(\cdot, t) : S^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, solving (1), we pick $\bar{z}(t)$ to be a piecewise constant function constructed iteratively, starting with $\bar{z}(t) = 0$ for $0 \le t \le \delta$ for δ sufficiently small (this works due to our assumption on the initial conditions), and $\bar{z}(t) = z(\delta)$ for $\delta \le t \le \delta + \delta'$ and so forth (see Section 8). This gives $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, s.t. (7) holds. This is $z(t)$ we use in (3).

4 Reparametrization of solutions

The next proposition will be used to reparamtrize the initial condition for (5).

Proposition 5. *If* $\|\rho - \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_\#}}\|_{L^1} \leq \delta := \frac{(n-\frac{1}{2})^{5/2}\sqrt{n}|S^n|}{6a_\#^3}$ *for some* $n - \frac{1}{2} < a_\# < n + \frac{1}{2}$*, then there exists* $a = a(\rho)$ *s.t.*

$$\rho - \sqrt{\frac{n}{a}} \perp 1 \textit{ in } L^2(S^n). \tag{9}$$

Moreover, $|a(\rho) - a_\#| \lesssim \|\rho - \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_\#}}\|_{L^1}$ *and* $\|\rho - \sqrt{\frac{n}{a}}\|_{H^s} \lesssim \|\rho - \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_\#}}\|_{H^s}$*.*

Proof. The orthogonality conditions on the fluctuation can be written as $F(\rho, a) = 0$, where $F : L^2(\Omega) \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as $F(\rho, a) = \int_{S^n}(\rho - \sqrt{\frac{n}{a}})$.

Note first that the mapping F is C^1 and $F(\sqrt{\frac{n}{a}}, a) = 0 \ \forall a$. We compute the linear map $\partial_a F(\rho, a)$:

$$(\partial_a F)(\rho, a) = \int_{S^n} \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} a^{-3/2} = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2a^{3/2}}|\Omega|. \tag{10}$$

Hence $\partial_a F(\rho, a)$ is invertible. Thus, by implicit function theorem, the equation $F(\rho, a) = 0$ has a unique solution for a in a neighbourhood of the point $(\sqrt{\frac{n}{a_\#}}, a_\#)$.

To obtain estimates on the neighbourhood above we follow through the proof of the implicit function theorem. We expand the function $F(\rho, a)$ in a around $a_\#$:

$$F(\rho, a) = F(\rho, a_\#) + \partial_a F(\rho, a_\#)(a - a_\#) + R(\rho, a), \tag{11}$$

where $R(\rho, a)$ is defined by this equation. Hence, by the standard remainder formula, it satisfies, for $|a - a_\#|, \ |a' - a_\#| \leq r$,

$$|R(\rho, a)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup |\partial_a^2 F| r^2 \leq \frac{3\sqrt{n}|S^n|}{8(n - 1/2)^{5/2}} r^2 \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |R(\rho, a') \quad & -R(\rho, a)| = |F(\rho, a') - F(\rho, a) - \partial_a F(\rho, a_\sharp)(a' - a)| \\ & = |\textstyle\int_0^1 \partial_s F(\rho, sa' + (1-s)a) ds - \partial_a F(\rho, a_\sharp)(a' - a)| \\ & = \textstyle\int_0^1 ds |\partial_a F(\rho, sa' + (1-s)a) - \partial_a F(\rho, a_\sharp)||a' - a| \\ & \leq \sup |\partial_a^2 F(\rho, a)| r |a' - a| \\ & \leq \tfrac{3\sqrt{n}|S^n|}{8(n-1/2)^{5/2}} r |a' - a|. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Using (11), we rewrite the equation $F(\rho, a) = 0$ as a fixed point problem $a - a_\# = \Phi_\rho(a - a_\#)$, where $\Phi_\rho(a - a_\#) = -\partial_a F(\rho, a_\#)^{-1}[F(\rho, a_\#) + R(\rho, a)]$. Choose $r = (\frac{8(n-\frac{1}{2})^{5/2}}{3\sqrt{n}|S^n|}\delta)^{1/2} = \frac{2(n-\frac{1}{2})^{5/2}}{3a_\#^{3/2}}$. Then if $|a - a_\#| \leq r$, we have by (12)

$$|\Phi_\rho(a - a_\#)| \leq \frac{2a_\#^{3/2}}{\sqrt{n}|S^n|}(\delta + \frac{3\sqrt{n}|S^n|}{8(n - \frac{1}{2})^{5/2}} r^2) = \frac{4a_\#^{3/2}}{\sqrt{n}|S^n|}\delta = r.$$

Moreover, by (13)

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_\rho(a'-a_\#)-\Phi_\rho(a-a_\#)| &= |\partial_a F(\rho,a_\#)^{-1}||R(\rho,a')-R(\rho,a)| \\ &\le \tfrac{2a_\#^{3/2}}{\sqrt{n}|S^n|}\tfrac{3\sqrt{n}|S^n|}{8(n-1/2)^{5/2}} r|a'-a| = \tfrac{1}{2}|a'-a|. \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that this fixed point problem has a unique solution satisfying the estimates $|a-a_\#| \le r$, provided $\|\rho - \sqrt{\frac{n}{a_\#}}\|_{L^1} \le \delta$. □

Unfortunately, we cannot apply Proposition 5 directly to solutions, $\rho(\omega,\tau)$, of the equation (5), since the parameter-function $a(\tau)$ which would come out of Proposition 5 would have to be equal to the $a(\tau)$ entering (5). To overcome this problem, we 'deconstruct' $\rho(\omega,\tau)$, using that it originates as $\rho(\omega,\tau) := \lambda(t)^{-1}R(\omega,t)$, with $R(\omega,t) := (x(\omega,t)-z(t))\cdot\omega$ (see Equation (3) and Theorem 2) and $\tau=\tau(t)$ given by (3), and we construct an orthogonal decomposition for $R(\omega,t)$.

For any time t_0 and constant $\delta>0$we define $I_{t_0,\delta} := [t_0, t_0+\delta]$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_{t_0,\delta} := C^1(I_{t_0,\delta}, [n-\frac{1}{2}, n+\frac{1}{2}]).$$

Let $\lambda(t)$ be positive, differentiable function and denote $R_\lambda(\omega,t) := \lambda(t)^{-1}R(\omega,t)$. For any function $a\in\mathcal{A}_{t_0,\delta}$ and $\lambda_0>0$, we define the positive function

$$\lambda(a,\lambda_0)(t) := (\lambda_0^2 - 2\int_{t_0}^t a(s)ds)^{1/2},$$

i.e. $\lambda(a,\lambda_0)(t)$ satisfies $\lambda(t)\partial_t\lambda(t)=a(t)$ and $\lambda(t_0)=\lambda_0$. Suppose R is such that

$$\sup_{t\in I_{t_0,\delta}} \|R_{\lambda(\bar a,\lambda_0)}(t) - \sqrt{\frac{n}{\bar a(t)}}\| \ll 1, \tag{14}$$

for some $a\in\mathcal{A}_{t_0,\delta}$ and $\lambda_0>0$. We define the set

$$\mathcal{U}_{t_0,\delta,\lambda_0} := \{R\in C^1(I_{t_0,\delta}, L^2(\Omega)) \mid (14) \text{ holds for some } a(t)\}.$$

In what follows, all inner products are the L^2 inner products.

Proposition 6. *Suppose $\lambda_0^{-2}\delta \ll 1$. Then there exists a unique C^1 map $g:\mathcal{U}_{t_0,\delta,\lambda_0}\to\mathcal{A}_{t_0,\delta}$, such that for $t\in I_{t_0,\delta}$, any $R\in\mathcal{U}_{t_0,\delta,\lambda_0}$ can be uniquely represented in the form*

$$R_\lambda(\omega,t) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{a(t)}} + \xi(\omega,t), \tag{15}$$

with $g(R)(t)=a(t)$, $\xi(\cdot,t)\perp 1$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, and $\lambda(t)=\lambda(a,\lambda_0)$.

Proof. In this proof we write $\lambda(a)$ instead of $\lambda(a,\lambda_0)$ and $R_{\lambda(a)}(t)$ for the function $\omega\to R_\lambda(\omega,t)$. Define the C^1 map $G: C^1(I_{t_0,\delta}, [n-\frac{1}{2}, n+\frac{1}{2}])\times C^1(I_{t_0,\delta}, L^2(\Omega))\to C^1(I_{t_0,\delta},\mathbb{R})$ as

$$G(a,R)(t) := \left\langle R_{\lambda(a)}(t) - \sqrt{\frac{n}{a(t)}}, 1\right\rangle.$$

The orthogonality condition on the fluctuation can be written as $G(a, R) = 0$. We solve this equation using the implicit function theorem. Note first that $G(a, \sqrt{\frac{n}{a}}) = 0,\ \forall a$. Next, we compute

$$\partial_a G = \left\langle \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} a^{-3/2}, 1 \right\rangle + \left\langle \partial_a R_{\lambda(a)}, 1 \right\rangle .$$

Note that $\partial_a R_{\lambda(a)} \alpha = \lambda(t)^{-2} R_{\lambda(a)} \int_{t_0}^{t} \alpha(s) ds$. Using this expression and the inequality $\lambda(t) \geq \frac{\lambda_0}{\sqrt{2}}$, provided that $\delta \leq (4\|a\|_\infty)^{-1} \lambda_0^2$, we estimate

$$\|\partial_a R_{\lambda(a)} \alpha\|_\infty \lesssim \delta \lambda_0^2 \|R_\lambda\|_\infty \|\alpha\|_\infty .$$

So $\partial_a R_{\lambda(a)}$ is small, if $\delta \ll (\lambda_0^2 \|R_\lambda\|_\infty)^{-1}$. This shows that $\partial_a G(a, R)$ is invertible, provided $R_{\lambda(a)}$ is close to $\sqrt{\frac{n}{a}}$. Hence the implicit function theorem implies that for any $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{A}_{t_0,\delta}$ there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{\bar{a}}$ of $\sqrt{\frac{n}{\bar{a}}}$ in $C^1(I_{t_0,\delta}, L^2(\Omega))$ and a unique C^1 map $g : \mathcal{V}_{\bar{a}} := \{R \in C^1(I_{t_0,\delta}, L^2(\Omega))\ | R_{\lambda(\bar{a})} \in \mathcal{U}_{\bar{a}}\} \to \mathcal{A}_{t_0,\delta}$, such that $G(g(R), R) = 0$ for all $R \in \mathcal{V}_{\bar{a}}$. Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 5, we obtain a quantative description of the neighbourhood , which implies the statement of Proposition 6. $\square$

Let an immersion $x(\cdot, t) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ satisfy (1) and let $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be as in Section 3, i.e. such that (7) holds. We apply Proposition 6 to $R(\omega, t) = (x(\omega, t) - z(t)) \cdot \omega$ to obtain $a(\tau)$ and $\xi(\omega, \tau)$ s.t. $\rho(\omega, \tau) \equiv R_{\lambda(a,\lambda_0)}(\omega, t)$ satisfies

$$\rho(\omega, \tau) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{a(\tau)}} + \xi(\omega, \tau), \tag{16}$$

with $\xi \perp 1$. (Here in some functions we changed the time t to $\tau = \tau(t)$, given in (3).) This together with (8) implies that $\int_\Omega (\rho - \sqrt{\frac{n}{a}}) \omega^j = 0,\ j = 0, \ldots, n+1$, where we use the notation $\omega^0 = 1$, or

$$\rho - \sqrt{\frac{n}{a}} \perp \omega^j,\ j = 0, \ldots, n+1, \text{ in } L^2(\Omega). \tag{17}$$

5 Lyapunov-Schmidt decomposition

Let ρ solve (5) and assume it can be written as $\rho(\omega, \tau) = \rho_{a(\tau)} + \xi(\omega, \tau)$, with $\rho_a = \sqrt{\frac{n}{a}}$ and $\xi \perp \omega^j,\ j = 0, \ldots, n+1$. Plugging this into equation (5), we obtain the equation

$$\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \tau} = -L_a \xi + N(\xi) + \lambda^{-1} \tilde{z}_\tau \cdot \frac{\nabla \xi}{\rho_a + \xi} + F, \tag{18}$$

where $L_a = -\partial G(\rho_a)$, $N(\xi) = G(\rho_a + \xi) - G(\rho_a) - \partial G(\rho_a)\xi$, $F = -\partial_\tau \rho_a - \lambda^{-1} z_\tau \cdot \omega$ and, recall, $z_\tau = \frac{\partial z}{\partial \tau}$ and $\tilde{z}_{\tau k} = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^k} z_\tau^i$. Let $a_\tau = \frac{\partial a}{\partial \tau}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} &L_a = \tfrac{a}{n}(-\Delta - 2n), \\ &N(\xi) = -\tfrac{(\rho_a+\rho)\xi\Delta\xi}{\rho^2\rho_a^2} - \tfrac{n\xi^2}{\rho\rho_a^2} + \tfrac{|\nabla\xi|^2}{\rho(\rho^2+|\nabla\xi|^2)} - \tfrac{\nabla\xi\cdot\text{Hess}\,(\xi)\nabla\xi}{\rho^2(\rho^2+|\nabla\xi|^2)}, \\ &F = \tfrac{\sqrt{n}}{2} a^{-3/2} a_\tau - \lambda^{-1} z_\tau \cdot \omega. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Now, we project (18) onto *span*$\{\omega^j,\ j = 0, \ldots, n+1\}$. By

$$\xi \perp \omega^j,\ j = 0, \ldots, n+1, \tag{20}$$

we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}a^{-3/2}a_\tau|S^n| = \left\langle N(\xi) + \lambda^{-1}\tilde{z}_\tau \cdot \frac{\nabla\xi}{\rho_a+\xi}, 1\right\rangle, \tag{21}$$

$$-c\lambda^{-1}z_\tau^j = \left\langle N(\xi) + \lambda^{-1}\tilde{z}_\tau \cdot \frac{\nabla\xi}{\rho_a+\xi}, \omega^j\right\rangle,\ j=1,\dots,n+1, \tag{22}$$

where $c := \int_\Omega (\omega^j)^2 = \frac{1}{n+1}|\Omega|$. Indeed, this equation follows from

- $\langle \partial_\tau\xi, \omega^j\rangle = -\langle \xi, \partial_\tau\omega^j\rangle = 0$, $j = 0,\dots,n+1$;
- $\langle L_a\xi, \omega^j\rangle = \langle \xi, L_a\omega^j\rangle = 0$, $j = 0,\dots,n+1$;
- $\langle F, 1\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}a^{-3/2}a_\tau, 1\right\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}a^{-3/2}a_\tau|\Omega|$;
- $\langle F, \omega^j\rangle = -\lambda^{-1}\langle z_\tau\cdot\omega, \omega^j\rangle = -c\lambda^{-1}z_\tau^j$, $j=1,\dots,n+1$.

Equations (21) and (22) give

$$\begin{aligned}|a^{-3/2}a_\tau| &\lesssim \left|\left\langle N(\xi) + \lambda^{-1}\tilde{z}_\tau \cdot \frac{\nabla\xi}{\rho_a+\xi}, 1\right\rangle\right| \\ &\lesssim \|N(\xi)\|_{L^1} + \lambda^{-1}|z_\tau|\|\nabla\xi\|_{L^1}\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^{-1}|z_\tau| &\lesssim \left|\left\langle N(\xi) + \lambda^{-1}\tilde{z}_\tau \cdot \frac{\nabla\xi}{\rho_a+\xi}, \omega\right\rangle\right| \\ &\lesssim \|N(\xi)\|_{L^1} + \lambda^{-1}|z_\tau|\|\nabla\xi\|_{L^1}.\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Next, we estimate $N(\xi)$. Using (19), where, recall, $\rho = \rho_a + \xi$, and assuming that $|\xi| \le \frac{1}{2}\rho_a$, we have that

$$\|N(\xi)\|_{L^1} \lesssim (\|\nabla\xi\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\xi\|_{H^1})\|\xi\|_{H^2}. \tag{25}$$

This together with (23) and (24) gives

$$|a^{-3/2}a_\tau| \lesssim (\|\nabla\xi\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\xi\|_{H^1})\|\xi\|_{H^2} \tag{26}$$

and, provided that $\|\xi\|_{H^1} \ll 1$,

$$|z_\tau| \lesssim \lambda(\|\nabla\xi\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\xi\|_{H^1})\|\xi\|_{H^2}. \tag{27}$$

6 Linearized operator

The linearization of the map $-G(\rho)$ at $\rho_a = \sqrt{\frac{n}{a}}$ is the operator $L_a := -\partial J(\sqrt{\frac{n}{a}}) = \frac{a}{n}(-\Delta - 2n)$. The spectrum of $-\Delta$ is well known (see [45]): $\{l(l+n-1)|\ l = 0, 1, \cdots\}$. Let H_l be the space of all the eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalue $l(l+n-1)$ of $-\Delta$. Then dim $H_l = \begin{pmatrix} n+l \\ n \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} n+l-2 \\ n \end{pmatrix}$.

Moreover, $H_0 = \text{span}\,\{1\}$ and $H_1 = \text{span}\,\{\frac{x^1}{|x|}, \cdots, \frac{x^{n+1}}{|x|}\}$. Hence the spectrum of L_a is $\{\frac{a}{n}(l(l+n-1)-2n)|\, l = 0, 1, \cdots\}$ and

$$L_a\omega^j = -2a\delta_{j0},\ j = 0, \dots, n+1. \tag{28}$$

The conclusions above imply that

$$\langle \xi, L_a\xi\rangle \geq \frac{2a}{n}\|\xi\|^2 \text{ if } \xi \perp \omega^j,\ j = 0, \dots, n+1. \tag{29}$$

Now, (29) is the reason why we need the conditions (17).

Observe that the eigenfuctions ω^j are related to the zero modes of the operator $L_\alpha := \partial J(\rho_\alpha)$, where $\alpha = (R, z)$, and ρ_α is the map from Ω to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|\rho_\alpha(x)\hat{x} - z| = R$. Note that, if $S_{R,z}$ denotes the sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of radius R, centered at $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $graph(\rho) := \{\rho(\omega)\omega : \omega \in S^n\}$ for $\rho : S^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$, then $S_\alpha = graph(\rho_\alpha)$. Hence $J(\rho_\alpha) = 0$ for any α. Indeed, differentiating $J(\rho_\alpha) = 0$ we find $\partial J(\rho_\alpha)\partial_\alpha\rho_\alpha + \partial_\alpha J(\rho_\alpha) = 0$, which implies $L_\alpha\partial_R\rho_\alpha = -2a\partial_R\rho_\alpha,\ L_\alpha\partial_z\rho_\alpha = 0$, i. e. $\partial_\alpha\rho_\alpha$ are eigenfunctions of the operator L_α.

On the other hand, the equation for ρ_α implies implies that $\rho_\alpha(x)^2 + |z|^2 - 2\rho_\alpha(x)z\cdot\hat{x} = R^2$ and therefore

$$\rho_\alpha(\hat{x}) = z\cdot\hat{x} + \sqrt{R^2 - |z|^2 + (z\cdot\hat{x})^2},$$

where, recall, $\hat{x} = \frac{x}{|x|}$. Differentiating the former relation with respect to R and z^j, we obtain

$$\partial_R\rho_\alpha(x) = \frac{R}{\rho_\alpha(x) - z\cdot\hat{x}} \text{ and } \partial_{z^j}\rho_\alpha(x) = \frac{\rho_\alpha(x)\hat{x}^j - z^j}{\rho_\alpha(x) - z\cdot\hat{x}}. \tag{30}$$

Hence we have that

$$\partial_R\rho_\alpha(x) = 1 + O(|z|),\ \partial_{z^j}\rho_\alpha(x) = \hat{x}^j + O(|z|). \tag{31}$$

Since $L_\alpha = L_a + O(|z|)$, these equations and $L_\alpha\partial_R\rho_\alpha = -2a\partial_R\rho_\alpha,\ L_\alpha\partial_z\rho_\alpha = 0$ imply (28). This relates the zero modes (30) to the eigenfunctions in (28). Finally, we note that $\partial_\alpha\rho_\alpha$ are tangent vectors of the manifold of spheres, $\{S_{R,z}\ |R \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ z \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\}$.

7 Lyapunov functional

Let a function ξ obey (18) and (20). Using that (20) implies $\langle L_a\xi, \xi\rangle \geq \frac{2a}{n}\|\xi\|^2$, we derive in this section some differential inequalities for certain Sobolev norms of such a ξ. These inequalities allow us to prove a priori estimates for these Sobolev norms. For $k \geq 1$, we define the functional $\Lambda_k(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\left\langle \xi, L_a^k\xi\right\rangle$.

Proposition 7. *There exist constants $c > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$ca^k\|\xi\|_{H^k}^2 \leq \Lambda_k(\xi) \leq Ca^k\|\xi\|_{H^k}^2.$$

Proof. By a standard computation, we see that there exists a $C > 0$ such that $\left\langle \xi, L_a^k\xi\right\rangle \leq Ca^k\|\xi\|_{H^k}^2$. We prove the lower bound below. Recall that $\langle \xi, L_a\xi\rangle \geq \frac{2a}{n}\|\xi\|^2$. From the definition of L_a we also have $\langle \xi, L_a\xi\rangle = C_1a\|\nabla\xi\|^2 - C_2a\|\xi\|^2$ for some $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$. These two inequalities imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \xi, L_a\xi\rangle &= \mu\,\langle \xi, L_a\xi\rangle + (1-\mu)\,\langle \xi, L_a\xi\rangle\\ &\geq \mu C_1a\|\nabla\xi\|^2 - \mu C_2a\|\xi\|^2 + (1-\mu)Ca\|\xi\|^2\\ &= \mu C_1a(\|\nabla\xi\|^2 + \|\xi\|^2)\end{aligned}$$

provided that $\mu = \frac{C}{C+C_1+C_2}$, where $C = \frac{2a}{n}$.

For the general case, observe that L_a is a self-adjoint operator and L_a^k has the same eigenfunctions as L_a with eigenvalues $\{\frac{a^k}{n^k}(l(l+n-1)-n)^k : l = 0, 1, \cdots\}$. Hence, by (29), $\langle \xi, L_a^k \xi \rangle \geq (\frac{2a}{n})^k \|\xi\|^2$. On the other hand, we have as before $\langle \xi, L_a^k \xi \rangle \geq (\frac{a}{n})^k [\|\xi\|_{H^k}^2 - C\|\xi\|^2]$. Then proceeding as above we find $\langle \xi, L_a^k \xi \rangle \gtrsim a^k \|\xi\|_{H^k}^2$, which is the lower bound in the proposition. □

Proposition 8. *Let $k > \frac{n}{2} + 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\partial_\tau \Lambda_k(\xi) \leq -\frac{a}{n}\Lambda_k(\xi) - [\frac{1}{2} - C(\Lambda_k(\xi)^{1/2} + \Lambda_k(\xi)^k)]\|L_a^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\xi\|^2. \tag{32}$$

Proof. We have

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_\tau \langle \xi, L_a^k \xi \rangle = \langle \partial_\tau \xi, L_a^k \xi \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle \xi, (\partial_\tau L_a^k)\xi \rangle . \tag{33}$$

First, from (18)

$$\langle \partial_\tau \xi, L_a^k \xi \rangle = -\langle L_a \xi, L_a^k \xi \rangle + \langle N(\xi), L_a^k \xi \rangle + \left\langle \lambda^{-1}\tilde{z}_\tau \cdot \frac{\nabla \xi}{\rho_a + \xi}, L_a^k \xi \right\rangle + \langle F, L_a^k \xi \rangle . \tag{34}$$

We consider each term on the right hand side. We have by (29)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_a \xi, L_a^k \xi \rangle &= \tfrac{1}{2}\|L_a^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\xi\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\left\langle L_a^{\frac{k}{2}}\xi, L_a L_a^{\frac{k}{2}}\xi \right\rangle \\ &\geq \tfrac{1}{2}\|L_a^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\xi\|^2 + \tfrac{a}{n}\left\langle L_a^{\frac{k}{2}}\xi, L_a^{\frac{k}{2}}\xi \right\rangle . \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

To estimate the next term we need the following inequality proven in Appendix B:

$$\|L_a^{\frac{k-1}{2}} N(\xi)\| \lesssim (\Lambda_k^{1/2}(\xi) + \Lambda_k^k(\xi))\|L_a^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\xi\|. \tag{36}$$

This estimate implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle N(\xi), L_a^k \xi \rangle| &= |\left\langle L_a^{\frac{k-1}{2}} N(\xi), L_a^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\xi \right\rangle| \\ &\leq \|L_a^{\frac{k-1}{2}} N(\xi)\|\|L_a^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\xi\| \\ &\leq C(\Lambda_k^{1/2}(\xi) + \Lambda_k^k(\xi))\|L_a^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\xi\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

From (27) and Proposition 7 we obtain that

$$\left\langle \lambda^{-1}\tilde{z}_\tau \cdot \frac{\nabla \xi}{\rho_a + \xi}, L_a^k \xi \right\rangle = \left\langle L_a^{\frac{k-1}{2}}(\lambda^{-1}\tilde{z}_\tau \cdot \frac{\nabla \xi}{\rho_a + \xi}), L_a^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\xi \right\rangle \leq C(\Lambda_k^{1/2}(\xi) + \Lambda_k^k(\xi))\|L_a^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\xi\|^2. \tag{38}$$

We have, by (28), (17) (i.e. $L_a \omega^j = -2a\delta_{j0}$, $\langle \omega^j, \xi \rangle = 0$) and the self-adjointness of L_a, that $\langle \omega^j, L_a^k \xi \rangle = 0$, $j = 0, \ldots, n+1$, and therefore

$$\langle N, L_a^k \xi \rangle = 0. \tag{39}$$

Finally, we have using (26)

$$\langle \xi, (\partial_\tau L_a^k)\xi \rangle = \frac{k a_\tau}{a}\langle \xi, L_a^k \xi \rangle \leq C(\|\xi\|_{H^k} + \|\xi\|_{H^k}^{2k})\|L_a^{\frac{k+1}{2}}\xi\|^2. \tag{40}$$

Relations (33)-(40) yield (32). □

8 Proof of Theorem 2

We begin with reparametrizing the initial condition. Applying Proposition 4, to the immersion $x_0(\omega)$ and the number $\lambda_0 = 1$, we find $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, s.t. $\int_\Omega \rho_0(\omega)\omega^j = 0,\ j = 1, \dots, n+1$, where $\rho_0(\omega) = (x_0(\omega) - z_0) \cdot \omega$. Then we use Proposition 5 for $\rho_0(\omega)$ to obtain a_0 and $\xi_0(\omega)$, s.t. $\rho_0 = \rho_{a_0} + \xi_0$, with $\xi_0 \perp 1$. Here, recall, $\rho_a = \sqrt{\frac{n}{a}}$. The last two statements imply that $\xi_0 \perp \omega^j,\ j = 0, \dots, n+1$, where, recall, $\omega^0 = 1$. If the initial condition, $x_0(\omega)$, is sufficiently close to the identity, then a_0 and $\xi_0(\omega)$ satisfy $\Lambda_k(\xi_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_k(\xi_0)^k \le \frac{1}{10C}$, $\Lambda_k(\xi_0) \ll 1$ and $|a_0 - n| \le \frac{1}{10}$ (see Proposition 5), where the constant C is the same as in Proposition 8.

Now we use the local existence result for the mean curvature flow. For $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, the solution, $x(\omega, t)$, in the interval $[0, \delta]$, stays sufficiently close to the standard sphere Ω. Hence we can apply Proposition 4, with $\bar{z}(t) = 0$, to this solution in order to find $z(t)$, s.t.

$$\int_\Omega ((x(\omega, t) - z(t)) \cdot \omega)\omega^j = 0,\ j = 1, \dots, n+1, \text{ and } z(0) = z_0.$$

By Proposition 3, $y(\omega, \tau) := \lambda(t)^{-1}(x(\omega, t) - z(t)) = \rho(\omega, \tau)\omega$, with $\rho(\omega, \tau) = (x(\omega, t) - z(t)) \cdot \omega$ and $\lambda(t)$ satisfying (5). Finally we apply Proposition 6 to $R(\omega, t) := (x(\omega, t) - z(t)) \cdot \omega = \lambda(t)\rho(\omega, \tau)$ to obtain $a(\tau)$ and $\xi(\omega, \tau)$ s.t. $\rho(\omega, \tau) = \rho_{a(\tau)} + \xi(\omega, \tau)$, with $\xi \perp \omega^j,\ j = 0, \dots, n+1$. We repeat this procedure on the interval $[\delta, \delta + \delta']$ with $\bar{z}(t) := z(\delta)$ and so forth. This gives $T_1 > 0$, $z(t(\tau))$, $\rho(\omega, \tau)$, $a(\tau)$ and $\xi(\omega, \tau)$, $\tau \le T_1$, s.t. $x(\omega, t) = z(t) + \lambda(t)\rho(\omega, \tau(t))$ and $\rho(\omega, \tau) = \rho_{a(\tau)} + \xi(\omega, \tau)$, with ρ and λ satisfying (5) and $\xi \perp \omega^j$, $j = 0, \cdots, n+1$.

Now, let

$$T = \sup\{\tau > 0 : \Lambda_k(\xi(\tau))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_k(\xi(\tau))^k \le \frac{1}{5C},\ |a(\tau) - n| \le \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

By continuity, $T > 0$. Assume $T < \infty$. Then $\forall \tau \le T$ we have by Proposition 8 that $\partial_\tau \Lambda_k(\xi) \le -\frac{a}{n}\Lambda_k(\xi)$. We integrate this equation to obtain $\Lambda_k(\xi) \le \Lambda_k(\xi_0)e^{-\int_0^\tau \frac{a(s)}{n}ds} \le \Lambda_k(\xi_0)e^{-(1-\frac{1}{2n})\tau} \le \Lambda_k(\xi_0)$. This implies

$$\Lambda_k(\xi(T))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_k(\xi(T))^k \le \Lambda_k(\xi_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_k(\xi_0)^k \le \frac{1}{10C}.$$

Moreover, by (26),

Hence $|a(T) - n| \le \frac{1}{4}$, and therefore proceeding as above we see that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\Lambda_k(\xi(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} + \Lambda_k(\xi(t))^k \le \frac{1}{5C}$ and $|a(t) - n| \le \frac{1}{2}$, for $t \le T + \delta$, a contradiction! So $T = \infty$ and $\Lambda_k(\xi) \le \Lambda_k(\xi_0)e^{-\int_0^\tau \frac{a(s)}{n}ds}$. By Proposition 7 we know that $\|\xi\|_{H^k}^2 \lesssim \Lambda_k(\xi_0)e^{-\int_0^\tau \frac{a(s)}{n}ds}$.

$$\begin{aligned} |a(\tau)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - a(0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}| &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\tau |a(s)^{-\frac{3}{2}}a_\tau(s)|ds \lesssim \int_0^\tau \Lambda_k(\xi)ds \\ &\le \Lambda_k(\xi_0)\int_0^\tau e^{-(1-\frac{1}{2n})s}ds \ll 1, \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

and by (27)

$$\begin{aligned} |z(\tau) - z(0)| &\le \int_0^\tau |z_\tau(s)|ds \lesssim \int_0^\tau \lambda(s)\Lambda_k(\xi)ds \\ &\le \Lambda_k(\xi_0)\int_0^\tau e^{-(n+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2n})s}ds \ll 1. \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

Observe that $\lambda_0^2 - \lambda(t)^2 = 2\int_0^t a(\tau(s))ds$. Let t_* be the zero of the function $\lambda_0^2 - 2\int_0^t a(\tau(s))ds$. Since $|a(\tau) - n| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have $t_* < \infty$ and $\lambda(t) \to 0$ as $t \to t_*$. Similarly to (41), we know that

$$|a(\tau_2)^{-1/2} - a(\tau_1)^{-1/2}| \lesssim \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} e^{-(1-\frac{1}{2n})s} ds \to 0,$$

as $\tau_1, \tau_2 \to \infty$. Hence there exists $a_* > 0$, such that $|a(\tau) - a_*| \lesssim e^{-(1-\frac{1}{2n})\tau}$. Similar arguments show that there exists $z_* \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ such that $|z(\tau) - z_*| \lesssim e^{-(n+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2n})\tau}$. Then $\lambda^2 = \lambda_0^2 - 2\int_0^t a(\tau(s))ds = 2\int_t^{t_*} a(\tau(s))ds = 2a_*(t_* - t) + o(t_* - t)$. The latter relation implies that $\tau = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\lambda(s)^2} = \frac{1}{2a_*}\int_0^t \frac{ds}{(t_*-s)(1+o(1))}$ and therefore $e^{-(1-\frac{1}{2n})\tau} = O((t_*-t)^{\frac{1}{2a_*}(1-\frac{1}{2n})})$. So $\lambda(t) = \sqrt{2a_*(t_* - t)} + O((t_*-t)^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2a_*}(1-\frac{1}{2n})})$, $\rho(\omega, \tau(t)) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{a(\tau(t))}} + \xi(\omega, \tau(t))$, and $\|\xi(\omega, \tau(t))\|_{H^k} \lesssim (t_* - t)^{\frac{1}{2n}}$. The latter inequality with $k = s$, together with estimates on a, z and λ obtained above and the relation $R(\omega, t) = \lambda(t)\rho(\omega, t)$, proves Theorem 2.

Appendix A: Proof of Proposition 3

We rewrite (4) as

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \tau} \cdot \nu(y) = -H(y) + ay \cdot \nu(y) - \lambda^{-1}\frac{\partial z}{\partial \tau} \cdot \nu(y). \tag{43}$$

Recall that in our representation $y = \rho(\omega, \tau)\omega,\ \omega \in S^n$. We extend ρ to $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ by $\tilde{\rho}(x, \tau) = \rho(\alpha(x), \tau)$, where $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to S^n,\ \alpha(x) := \hat{x} = \frac{x}{|x|}$. Then $y = \tilde{\rho}(x, \tau)\hat{x}$ and we can write $\tilde{M}_\tau = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \varphi(x, \tau) = 0\}$, where $\varphi(x, \tau) = |x| - \tilde{\rho}(x, \tau)$. Now, $\nu(y) = \frac{\nabla_x \varphi}{|\nabla_x \varphi|}$ and $\tilde{H} := \operatorname{div}_x(\frac{\nabla_x \varphi}{|\nabla_x \varphi|})$, where ∇_x is the standard gradient in x. Therefore

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\rho}}{\partial \tau} = \tilde{G}(\tilde{\rho}) + a\tilde{\rho} - \lambda^{-1} z_\tau \cdot (\hat{x} - \nabla_x \tilde{\rho}) \quad \text{on} \quad \tilde{M}_\tau, \tag{44}$$

where $\tilde{G}(\tilde{\rho}) = -\tilde{H}\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}|^2}$.

Let Hess_x be the operator-valued matrix with the entries $(\operatorname{Hess}_x)_{ij} := \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j}$. We compute $\tilde{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H} = \operatorname{div}\Big(\frac{\hat{x} - \nabla_x \tilde{\rho}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}|^2}}\Big) &= \frac{\frac{n}{|x|} - \Delta_x \tilde{\rho}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}|^2}} \\ &+ \frac{-\frac{1}{2}\hat{x} \cdot \nabla_x |\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}|^2 + \nabla_x \tilde{\rho} \cdot \operatorname{Hess}_x(\tilde{\rho})\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}}{(1 + |\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}|^2)^{3/2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Since $\tilde{\rho}(\lambda x) = \tilde{\rho}(x)$, we have that $x \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{\rho} = 0$. Differentiating this equation with respect to x_i we find that $x \cdot \nabla_x \partial_{x_i} \tilde{\rho} = -\partial_{x_i} \tilde{\rho}$, and therefore $x \cdot \nabla_x |\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}|^2 = 2|\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}|^2$. Plugging this into (45) gives

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{\frac{n}{|x|} - \Delta_x \tilde{\rho}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}|^2}} + \frac{-\frac{1}{|x|}|\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}|^2 + \nabla_x \tilde{\rho} \cdot \operatorname{Hess}_x(\tilde{\rho})\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}}{(1 + |\nabla_x \tilde{\rho}|^2)^{3/2}}. \tag{46}$$

Let $r = |x|$. We note first that due to the well-known representation (see [11])

$$\Delta_x = r^{-n}\partial_r r^n \partial_r + \frac{1}{r^2}\Delta \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, \tag{47}$$

we have that $\Delta_x\tilde{\rho}\ |_{M_t}= \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}^2}\Delta$. Furthermore, since $|x|\frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i}$ is homogeneous of degree 0, $\partial_{x^i}\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial u^j} = \frac{1}{|x|}\frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i}|_{S^n} g_{jk}\nabla^k\rho = \frac{1}{|x|}\frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i}|_{S^n}\frac{\partial x^m}{\partial u^j}\frac{\partial x^m}{\partial u^k}\nabla^k\rho = \frac{1}{|x|}\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^k}\nabla^k\rho$ and therefore $\nabla_x\tilde{\rho}\cdot z_\tau = \frac{1}{|x|}z_\tau^i\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^k}\nabla^k\rho$. Next, we need the following lemma which is proved below.

Lemma 9.

$$|\nabla_x\tilde{\rho}|^2 = \frac{1}{|x|^2}|\nabla\rho|^2, \tag{48}$$

$$\nabla_x\tilde{\rho}\cdot \text{Hess}\,_x(\tilde{\rho})\nabla_x\tilde{\rho} = \frac{1}{|x|^4}(\nabla\rho\cdot \text{Hess}\,(\rho)\nabla\rho). \tag{49}$$

This lemma, together with equations $H = \tilde{H}|_{\tilde{M}_\tau}$, (46) and (47), gives $H(\rho) := \frac{-\rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2+|\nabla\rho|^2}}G(\rho)$ and therefore $\tilde{G}(\tilde{\rho})|_{\tilde{M}_\tau} = G(\rho)$. This, together with (44), gives (5) - (6). Hence if $\tilde{M}_\tau$, defined by the immersion $y(\omega,\tau) = \rho(\omega,\tau)\omega$ of S^n, satisfies (4) then $\rho(\tau)$ satisfies (5) - (6). Reversing the steps we see that if ρ satisfies (5) - (6), then the immersion $y(\omega,\tau) = \rho(\omega,\tau)\omega$ satisfies (4). □

Proof of Lemma 9. Recall the notation $\alpha(x) = \hat{x} = \frac{x}{|x|}$. Let $\beta : U \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a local parametrization of Ω, and we denote ρ in the local coordinates, i.e. $\rho\circ\beta$, again as $\rho : U \to \mathbb{R}$. We write $\tilde{\rho} := \rho\circ\alpha = \rho\circ\beta\circ\beta^{-1}\circ\alpha$, which we rewrite as $\tilde{\rho} = \rho\circ\sigma$, where $\sigma := \beta^{-1}\circ\alpha : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to U$. Now, writing $u = u(x) \equiv \sigma(x)$, we define $\frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial u^l}{\partial x^i} =: \tilde{g}^{kl}$, where we use the convention of summing over repeated indices. We claim

$$\tilde{g}^{ij}(x)g_{jk}(u) = \frac{1}{|x|^2}\delta_{ik}. \tag{50}$$

Indeed, since $\beta(\sigma(x)) = \alpha(x)$, we have

$$(\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^m}\circ\sigma)\frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x^j} = \frac{\partial \alpha^i}{\partial x^j} = \frac{1}{|x|}(\delta_{ij} - \frac{x^ix^j}{|x|^2}). \tag{51}$$

Note that σ is homogeneous of degree 0, so $x\cdot\nabla_x\sigma = 0$. This together with (51) implies that

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \tilde{g}^{ij}(x)g_{jk}(u) = \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^m}\frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^m}(\frac{\partial x^n}{\partial u^j}\circ\sigma)(\frac{\partial x^n}{\partial u^k}\circ\sigma) \\ = & \frac{1}{|x|}\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^m}(\delta_{mn} - \frac{x^mx^n}{|x|^2})\frac{\partial x^n}{\partial u^k} = \frac{1}{|x|}\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^m}\frac{\partial x^m}{\partial u^k}. \end{array} \tag{52}$$

Since $|x|\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^m}$ is homogeneous of degree 0, we have that $|x|\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^m} = \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^m}|_\Omega$, and therefore $\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^m} = \frac{1}{|x|}(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^m}|_\Omega)$. Using $\sigma\circ\beta = 1_U$, we compute that $(\frac{\partial\sigma^i}{\partial x^j}\circ\beta)\frac{\partial\beta^j}{\partial u^k} = \delta_{ik}$, which is equivalent to $\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j}|_\Omega(\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial u^k}\circ\sigma) = \delta_{ik}$. This gives us

$$\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^m}\frac{\partial x^m}{\partial u^k} = \frac{1}{|x|}(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^m}|_\Omega)\frac{\partial x^m}{\partial u^k} = \frac{1}{|x|}\delta_{ik}. \tag{53}$$

(52) and (53) imply the equation (50).

Using the relations $\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^i} = g_{ij}\nabla^j\rho$ (this follows from the definition of $\nabla\rho$), $\frac{\partial\tilde{\rho}}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^j}$, and (50) we compute

$$\begin{array}{rl} & |\nabla_x\tilde{\rho}|^2 = \frac{\partial\tilde{\rho}}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial\tilde{\rho}}{\partial x^i} \\ = & \frac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial u^l}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^k}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^l} = \tilde{g}^{kl}(x)\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^k}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^l} \\ = & \tilde{g}_{kl}(x)g_{km}(u)\nabla^m\rho g_{ln}(u)\nabla^n\rho = \frac{1}{|x|^2}\nabla^l\rho g_{ln}\nabla^n\rho \\ = & \frac{1}{|x|^2}|\nabla\rho|^2. \end{array} \tag{54}$$

This gives (48).

Now we prove (49). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla_x\tilde{\rho}\cdot \mathrm{Hess}\,_x(\tilde{\rho})\nabla_x\tilde{\rho} = \tfrac{\partial\tilde{\rho}}{\partial x^i}\tfrac{\partial^2\tilde{\rho}}{\partial x^i\partial x^j}\tfrac{\partial\tilde{\rho}}{\partial x^j}\\
&= \tfrac{\partial u^m}{\partial x^i}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^m}\tfrac{\partial u^l}{\partial x^i}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial u^l}(\tfrac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^j}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^k})\tfrac{\partial u^n}{\partial x^j}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^n}\\
&= \tilde{g}^{ml}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^m}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial u^l}(\tfrac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^j}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^k})\tfrac{\partial u^n}{\partial x^j}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^n}\\
&= \tilde{g}^{ml}\tilde{g}^{kn}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^m}\tfrac{\partial^2\rho}{\partial u^l u^k}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^n} + \tilde{g}^{ml}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^m}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial u^l}(\tfrac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^j})\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^k}\tfrac{\partial u^n}{\partial x^j}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^n}\\
&=: A+B,
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Then

$$A = \tilde{g}^{ml}\tilde{g}^{kn}g_{mp}\nabla^p\rho\frac{\partial^2\rho}{\partial u^l\partial u^k}g_{nq}\nabla^q\rho = \frac{1}{|x|^4}\nabla^l\rho\frac{\partial^2\rho}{\partial u^l\partial u^k}\nabla^k\rho \tag{56}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= \tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{ml}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^m}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^k}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^n}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial u^l}(\tfrac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^j})\tfrac{\partial u^n}{\partial x^j} + \tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{ml}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^m}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^n}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^k}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial u^l}(\tfrac{\partial u^n}{\partial x^j})\tfrac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^j}\\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{ml}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^m}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^k}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^n}\tfrac{\partial}{\partial u^l}(\tfrac{\partial u^k}{\partial x^j}\tfrac{\partial u^n}{\partial x^j})\\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{ml}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^m}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^k}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^n}\tfrac{\partial\tilde{g}^{kn}}{\partial u^l}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by $\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^i} = g_{ij}\nabla^j\rho$, $B = B_1 = B_2 = B_3$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{ml}g_{mr}g_{ks}\tfrac{\partial\tilde{g}^{kn}}{\partial u^l}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^n}(\nabla\rho)^r(\nabla\rho)^s = \tfrac{1}{2|x|^2}g_{ks}\tfrac{\partial\tilde{g}^{kn}}{\partial u^r}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^n}(\nabla\rho)^r(\nabla\rho)^s,\\
B_2 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{ml}g_{ms}g_{nr}\tfrac{\partial\tilde{g}^{kn}}{\partial u^l}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^k}(\nabla\rho)^r(\nabla\rho)^s = \tfrac{1}{2|x|^2}g_{nr}\tfrac{\partial\tilde{g}^{kn}}{\partial u^s}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^k}(\nabla\rho)^r(\nabla\rho)^s,\\
B_3 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\tilde{g}^{ml}g_{kr}g_{ns}\tfrac{\partial\tilde{g}^{kn}}{\partial u^l}\tfrac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^m}(\nabla\rho)^r(\nabla\rho)^s.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$B = -\frac{1}{|x|^4}\Gamma^p_{rs}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial u^p}\nabla^r\rho\nabla^s\rho, \tag{57}$$

where $\Gamma^p_{rs} = -\frac{|x|^2}{2}(g_{ks}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}^{kp}}{\partial u^r} + g_{nr}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}^{pn}}{\partial u^s} - |x|^2\tilde{g}^{pl}g_{kr}g_{ns}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}^{kn}}{\partial u^l})$. Using that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^r}(g_{ks}\tilde{g}^{kp}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r}(\frac{1}{|x|^2}\delta_{sp}) = 0$$

(points $x\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ are parameterized by $\beta(u)$ and $|x|$), we compute $g_{ks}\frac{\partial\tilde{g}^{kp}}{\partial u^r} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^r}(g_{ks}\tilde{g}^{kp}) - \tilde{g}^{kp}\frac{\partial g_{ks}}{\partial u^r} = -\tilde{g}^{kp}\frac{\partial g_{ks}}{\partial u^r}$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^p_{rs} &= \tfrac{|x|^2}{2}(\tilde{g}^{kp}\tfrac{\partial g_{ks}}{\partial u^r} + \tilde{g}^{kp}\tfrac{\partial g_{kr}}{\partial u^s} - |x|^2\tilde{g}^{pl}g_{kr}\tilde{g}^{kn}\tfrac{\partial g_{ns}}{\partial u^l})\\
&= \tfrac{|x|^2}{2}(\tilde{g}^{kp}\tfrac{\partial g_{ks}}{\partial u^r} + \tilde{g}^{kp}\tfrac{\partial g_{kr}}{\partial u^s} - \tilde{g}^{pk}\tfrac{\partial g_{rs}}{\partial u^k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|x|=1$, and therefore $\tilde{g}^{pk} = g^{kp}$ on Γ, we have that on Γ

$$\Gamma^p_{rs} = \frac{1}{2}g^{kp}(\frac{\partial g_{ks}}{\partial u^r} + \frac{\partial g_{kr}}{\partial u^s} - \frac{\partial g_{rs}}{\partial u^k}),$$

which coincides with our definition for Γ^p_{rs} at the beginning of Section **??**.

Equations (55), (56) and (57) give (49). This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

Appendix B: Proof of (36)

Lemma 10. *Let $k > \frac{n}{2} + 1$ and assume that $|\xi| \le \frac{1}{2} v_a$. Then*

$$\|L_a^{\frac{k-1}{2}} N(\xi)\| \lesssim (\Lambda_k^{1/2}(\xi) + \Lambda_k^k(\xi)) \|L_a^{\frac{k+1}{2}} \xi\|. \tag{58}$$

Proof. Assume first that k is an integer. Then $\|L_a^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \eta\| \simeq \|\eta\|^2_{H^{k-1}} \simeq \|\eta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^{k-1}\eta\|^2_{L^2}$. Now, by the expression for $\mathcal{N}$ in (19), which we recall here,

$$N(\xi) = -\frac{(\rho_a + \rho)\xi \Delta \xi}{\rho^2 \rho_a^2} - \frac{n\xi^2}{\rho \rho_a^2} + \frac{|\nabla \xi|^2}{\rho(\rho^2 + |\nabla \xi|^2)} - \frac{\nabla \xi \cdot Hess(\xi) \nabla \xi}{\rho^2(\rho^2 + |\nabla \xi|^2)}, \tag{59}$$

the term $|\nabla^{k-1} N(\xi)|$ is bounded above by terms of the form $|\xi^t (\nabla \xi)^r (\nabla^{\alpha_1} \xi) \cdots (\nabla^{\alpha_s} \xi)|$, where

$$0 \le t,\ r \le k+1,\ 1 \le s \le k,\ t+r+s \ge 2,\ 2 \le \alpha_1 \le \cdots \le \alpha_s \le k-s+2,\ \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_s \le k+s. \tag{60}$$

Note that the last two conditions in (60) imply that $s \le k$. Then by Hölder's inequality we have

$$\|\nabla^{k-1} N(\xi)\| \le \|\nabla \xi\|^r_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^{\alpha_1} \xi\|_{L^{p_1}} \cdots \|\nabla^{\alpha_s} \xi\|_{L^{p_s}},$$

where $\frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_s} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Since $k > \frac{n}{2} + 1$, we have, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, that $\|\xi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \xi\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\xi\|_{H^k}$. Moreover, we choose p_i so that $k - \alpha_i > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p_i}$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, s-1$ and $k + 1 - \alpha_s > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p_s}$ (this choice implies $\sum_{j=1}^s \alpha_j < \frac{n}{2} + 1 + (k - \frac{n}{2})s$, which is compatible with (60)). Then, using the Sobolev embedding theorem again, we have $\|\nabla^{\alpha_i} \xi\|_{L^{p_i}} \le \|\xi\|_{H^k}$, for $i = 1, \cdots, s-1$, and $\|\nabla^{\alpha_s} \xi\|_{L^{p_s}} \le \|\xi\|_{H^{k+1}}$. Combining these estimates gives us

$$\|L_a^{\frac{k-1}{2}} N(\xi)\| \lesssim \|\xi\|^{r+s-1}_{H^k} \|\xi\|_{H^k}.$$

Now from $1 \le r + s - 1 \le 2k$ and Proposition 7 we obtain (58). Furthermore, one can easily check that k can be taken arbitrary close to $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ (this means that one is able to satisfy $1 \ge \alpha_i - \frac{n}{p_i}$, for $i = 1, \cdots, s-1$, $2 \ge \alpha_s - \frac{n}{p_s}$ and $\alpha_i \ge 2$, $\forall i$).

If k is not integer, we proceed as follows. Let $\beta = k - [k] \in (0,1)$. We use the space $\tilde{H}^\beta$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\tilde{H}^\beta} = \|f\|_{L^2} + \int \frac{dh}{|h|^{n+\beta}} \|\Delta_h f\|_{L^2},$$

where $\Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$. We have the embeddings

$$\|f\|_{H^\beta} \lesssim \|f\|_{\tilde{H}^\beta} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{\beta'}},\ \beta < \beta'. \tag{61}$$

Let us prove the first embedding:

$$(-\Delta + 1)^{\beta/2} f(x) = C_\beta f(x) + \int (f(x-y) - f(x)) G_\beta(y) dy,$$

where C_β is an analytic continuation of $C_\beta := \int G_\beta(x)dx$ with $\mathrm{Re}(\beta) < n$ and $G_\beta(y) := \int e^{iy\cdot k}(|k|^2+1)^{\beta/2}dk$. Note that $G_\beta(y) \sim |y|^{-n-\beta}$ as $|y| \to 0$ and is exponentially decaying at ∞. So

$$\|f\|_{H^\beta} = \|(-\Delta+1)^{\beta/2}f\|_{L^2} \le C_\beta\|f\|_{L^2} + \int \frac{dy}{|y|^{n+\beta}}\|\Delta_y f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{\tilde{H}^\beta},$$

which proves the first embedding in (61).

For the second embedding, let $\varphi = (-\Delta+1)^{\beta'/2}f$. Then

$$f = (-\Delta+1)^{-\beta'/2}\varphi = \int \tilde{G}_{\beta'}(x-y)\varphi(y)dy,$$

where $\tilde{G}_{\beta'}(y) := \int e^{iy\cdot k}(|k|^2+1)^{-\beta'/2}dk$. Note that $\tilde{G}_{\beta'}(y) \sim |y|^{-n+\beta'}$ as $|y| \to 0$ and is exponentially decaying at ∞. Let $\beta < \beta'' < \beta'$. Then

$$\begin{array}{ll} & \int_{|h|\le 1} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n+\beta}}\|\Delta_h f\|_{L^2} \\ = & \int_{|h|\le 1} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n+\beta}}\| \int_{|x-y|\le 2}(\tilde{G}_{\beta'}(x+h-y) - \tilde{G}_{\beta'}(x-y))\varphi(y)dy \\ & + \int_{|x-y|\ge 2}(\tilde{G}_{\beta'}(x+h-y) - \tilde{G}_{\beta'}(x-y))\varphi(y)dy\|_{L^2} \\ \lesssim & \int_{|h|\le 1} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n+\beta}}(|h|^{\beta''}\| \int_{|x-y|\le 2} |x-y|^{-n+\beta'-\beta''}|\varphi(y)|dy\|_{L^2} + |h|\| \int_{|x-y|\ge 2} |x-y|^{-n+\beta'-1}|\varphi(y)|dy\|_{L^2}) \\ \lesssim & \|\varphi\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{H^{\beta'}} \end{array} \tag{62}$$

and

$$\int_{|h|\ge 1} \frac{dh}{|h|^{n+\beta}}\|\Delta_h f\|_{L^2} \le 2\|f\|_{L^2}\int_{|h|\ge 1}\frac{dh}{|h^{n+\beta}|} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{\beta'}}.$$

This proves the second embedding in (61).

Using (61), we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ll} \| \prod_{j=1}^s \xi_j\|_{H^\beta} & \lesssim \int \frac{dh}{|h|^{n+\beta}}\|\Delta_h \prod_{j=1}^s \xi_j\|_2 \\ & \le \sum_{i=1}^s \int \frac{dh}{|h|^{n+\beta}}\| \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\xi_j \Delta_h \xi_i \prod_{j=i+1}^s T_h\xi_j\|_2 \\ & \le \sum_{i=1}^s (\prod_{j\ne i}\|\xi_j\|_{p_j^{(i)}}) \int \frac{dh}{|h|^{n+\beta}}\|\Delta_h\xi_i\|_{p_i^{(i)}}, \end{array}$$

where $T_h f(x) = f(x+h)$, $\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{1}{p_j^{(i)}} = \frac{1}{2}$. Using appropriate embeddings, we conclude finally that

$$\|\prod_{j=1}^s \xi_j\|_{H^\beta} \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^s \|\xi_j\|_{H^{c_j^{(i)}}}, \tag{63}$$

where $c_j^{(i)} > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p_j^{(i)}}$ $\forall j \ne i$ and $c_i^{(i)} - \beta > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p_i^{(i)}}$. Similarly as before we know that $\sum_{j=1}^s c_j^{(i)} - \beta > \frac{n}{2}(s-1)$, which guarantees the existence of $p_j^{(i)}$.

For k not an integer, we write

$$\|N(\xi)\|_{H^{k-1}} \sim \|(-\Delta+1)^{\beta/2}\nabla^m N(\xi)\|_{L^2}, \tag{64}$$

where $m = [k]-1$ and $\beta = k - [k] \in (0,1)$. $\nabla^m N(\xi)$ is treated as before to obtain

$$\nabla^m N(\xi) \sim \xi^t(\nabla\xi)^r\nabla^{\alpha_1}\xi\cdots\nabla^{\alpha_s}\xi, \tag{65}$$

where $t \le m+2$, $r \le m+2$, $2 \le \alpha_j \le m-s+3$, $\sum_{j=1}^{s} \alpha_j \le m+1+s$, $s \le m+1$ and $t+r+s \ge 2$.

If $\alpha_j < m+2\ \forall j$, then, using (63) with $\xi_j = \nabla^{\alpha_j}\xi\ \forall j$, $c_j^{(i)} + \alpha_j = k\ \forall j \neq i$ and $c_i^{(i)} + \alpha_i = k+1$, we find

$$\|\xi^t (\nabla \xi)^r \prod_{j=1}^{s} \nabla^{\alpha_j} \xi\|_{H^\beta} \lesssim \|\xi\|_{H^k}^{r+s-1} \|\xi\|_{H^{k+1}}. \tag{66}$$

We use this estimate, together with (64) and (65), to obtain

$$\|N(\xi)\|_{H^{k-1}} \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^{2[k]} \|\xi\|_{H^k}^{i} \|\xi\|_{H^{k+1}}. \tag{67}$$

If $\alpha_s = m+2$ and therefore $s = 1$, then we let $f = \xi^t (\nabla\xi)^r$ and proceed as

$$(-\Delta+1)^{\beta/2} f \nabla^{m+2}\xi = f(-\Delta+1)^{\beta/2}\nabla^{m+2}\xi + [(-\Delta+1)^{\beta/2}, f]\nabla^{m+2}\xi. \tag{68}$$

The first term on the r.h.s. is easy to estimate:

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \|f(-\Delta+1)^{\beta/2}\nabla^{m+2}\xi\| \le \|f\|_\infty \|\xi\|_{H^{k+1}} \\ \le & \|\xi\|_{H^k}^{t+r}\|\xi\|_{H^{k+1}} \le \sum_{p=1}^{2} \|\xi\|_{H^k}^{p} \|\xi\|_{H^{k+1}}. \end{array} \tag{69}$$

To estimate the second term in the r.h.s. we note that

$$\begin{array}{rl} & [(-\Delta+1)^{\beta/2}, f]\eta = \int (f(x)-f(y)) G_\beta(x-y)\eta(y)dy \\ = & \int \eta(x-z)(f(x-z)-f(x))G_\beta(z)dz. \end{array}$$

Using this representation we obtain for $\beta' > \beta$,

$$\begin{array}{rl} & \|[(-\Delta+1)^{\beta/2}, f]\eta\|_2 \\ \le & \sup_z \|\eta(\cdot - z)\frac{f(\cdot - z)-f(\cdot)}{|z|^{\beta'}}\|_{L^2(dx)} \int |z|^{\beta'} |G_\beta(z)|dz \\ \lesssim & \sup_z \|\eta(\cdot - z)\frac{f(\cdot - z)-f(\cdot)}{|z|^{\beta'}}\|_{L^2(dx)} \\ \le & \|\eta\|_q \sup_z \|\frac{\Delta_z f}{|z|^{\beta'}}\|_p, \end{array}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2}$. Similar to (62), we have

$$\sup_z \|\frac{1}{|z|^\gamma}\Delta_z f\|_{H^b} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{b+\gamma'}},\ \gamma' > \gamma.$$

Using this estimate and Sobolev embedding theorem, we find

$$\|[(-\Delta+1)^{\beta/2}, f]\eta\|_2 \lesssim \|\eta\|_{H^a} \sup_z \|\frac{\Delta_z f}{|z|^{\beta'}}\|_{H^b} \lesssim \|\eta\|_{H^a}\|f\|_{H^{b+\beta''}},$$

where $\beta'' > \beta'$, $a > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{q}$, $b > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{p}$. Taking $f = \xi^t(\nabla\xi)^r$ and $\eta = \nabla^{m+2}\xi$, $a = \beta$, we find

$$\|[(-\Delta+1)^{\beta/2}, f]\nabla^{m+2}\xi\| \le \|\xi^t(\nabla\xi)^r\|_{H^{r+\beta''}}\|\xi\|_{H^{k+1}}.$$

Note that $\beta'' + r > n - \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n}{2}$. Let $\beta'' + r = j$. As before, we estimate

$$\|\xi^t (\nabla\xi)^r\|_{H^j} \lesssim \sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_{t+r}=j} \|\nabla^{j_1}\xi \cdots \nabla^{j_t}\xi \nabla^{j_{t+1}+1}\xi \cdots \nabla^{j_{t+r}+1}\xi\|_2 \lesssim \|\xi\|_{H^{j+1}}^{t+r} \ \forall j > \frac{n}{2}.$$

Since $k > \frac{n}{2} + 1$, we can take $j = k - 1$ and so

$$\|[(-\Delta + 1)^{\beta/2}, f]\nabla^{m+2}\xi\| \leq \|\xi\|_{H^k}^{t+r} \|\xi\|_{H^{k+1}},$$

where, recall, $f = \xi^t(\nabla\xi)^r$. This inequality together with (64), (68) and (69) implies (67) also in this case. As was mentioned above (67) implies (58). $\square$

References

[1] N. D. Alikakos and A. Freire. The normalized mean curvature flow for a small bubble in a Riemannian manifold. *J. Differential Geom.*, 64(2):247–303, 2003.

[2] S. Altschuler, S. B. Angenent, and Y. Giga. Generalized motion by mean curvature for surfaces of rotation. *Adv. Studies. in Pure Math.*

[3] S. Altschuler, S. B. Angenent, and Y. Giga. Mean curvature flow through singularities for surfaces of rotation. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 5(3):293–358, 1995.

[4] L. Ambrosio and H. M. Soner. Level set approach to mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension. *J. Differential Geom.*, 43(4):693–737, 1996.

[5] S. Angenent and D. Knopf. An example of neckpinching for Ricci flow on S^{n+1}. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 11(4):493–518, 2004.

[6] S. B. Angenent and D. Knopf. Precise asymptotics of the Ricci flow neckpinch. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 15(4):773–844, 2007.

[7] S. B. Angenent and J. J. L. Velázquez. Degenerate neckpinches in mean curvature flow. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 482:15–66, 1997.

[8] M. Athanassenas. Behaviour of singularities of the rotationally symmetric, volume-preserving mean curvature flow. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 17(1):1–16, 2003.

[9] K. A. Brakke. *The Motion of a Surface by its Mean Curvature*, volume 20 of *Mathematical Notes.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1978.

[10] L. Bronsard and R. V. Kohn. Motion by mean curvature as the singular limit of Ginzburg-Landau dynamics. *J. Differential Equations*, 90(2):211–237, 1991.

[11] I. Chavel. *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, volume 115 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics.* Academic Press Inc., Orlando, FL, 1984. Including a chapter by Burton Randol, With an appendix by Jozef Dodziuk.

[12] Y. G. Chen, Y. Giga, and S. Goto. Uniqueness and existence of viscosity solutions of generalized mean curvature flow equations. *J. Differential Geom.*, 33(3):749–786, 1991.

[13] J. Clutterbuck. Parabolic equations with continuous initial data. *ArXiv Mathematics e-prints*, Apr. 2005.

[14] T. H. Colding and W. P. Minicozzi, II. Generic mean curvature flow I; generic singularities. *ArXiv e-prints*, Aug. 2009.

[15] A. A. Cooper. Mean curvature blow up in mean curvature flow. *ArXiv e-prints*, Feb. 2009.

[16] G. Dziuk and B. Kawohl. On rotationally symmetric mean curvature flow. *J. Differential Equations*, 93(1):142–149, 1991.

[17] K. Ecker. Local techniques for mean curvature flow. In *Workshop on Theoretical and Numerical Aspects of Geometric Variational Problems (Canberra, 1990)*, volume 26 of *Proc. Centre Math. Appl. Austral. Nat. Univ.*, pages 107–119. Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1991.

[18] K. Ecker and G. Huisken. Mean curvature evolution of entire graphs. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 130(3):453–471, 1989.

[19] K. Ecker and G. Huisken. Interior estimates for hypersurfaces moving by mean curvature. *Invent. Math.*, 105(3):547–569, 1991.

[20] S. D. Èĭdel′man. *Parabolic Systems.* Translated from the Russian by Scripta Technica, London. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1969.

[21] L. C. Evans, H. M. Soner, and P. E. Souganidis. Phase transitions and generalized motion by mean curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 45(9):1097–1123, 1992.

[22] L. C. Evans and J. Spruck. Motion of level sets by mean curvature. I. *J. Differential Geom.*, 33(3):635–681, 1991.

[23] L. C. Evans and J. Spruck. Motion of level sets by mean curvature. II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 330(1):321–332, 1992.

[24] L. C. Evans and J. Spruck. Motion of level sets by mean curvature. III. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 2(2):121–150, 1992.

[25] A. Friedman. *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type.* Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964.

[26] M. Gage and R. S. Hamilton. The heat equation shrinking convex plane curves. *J. Differential Geom.*, 23(1):69–96, 1986.

[27] Z. Gang and I. M. Sigal. Neck pinching dynamics under mean curvature flow. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 19(1):36–80, 2009.

[28] M. A. Grayson. The heat equation shrinks embedded plane curves to round points. *J. Differential Geom.*, 26(2):285–314, 1987.

[29] G. Huisken. Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres. *J. Differential Geom.*, 20(1):237–266, 1984.

[30] G. Huisken. Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow. *J. Differential Geom.*, 31(1):285–299, 1990.

[31] G. Huisken and C. Sinestrari. Convexity estimates of mean curvature flow and singularities for mean convex surfaces. *Acta Math.*, 183:45–70, 1999.

[32] G. Huisken and C. Sinestrari. Mean curvature flow singularities for mean convex surfaces. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 8(1):1–14, 1999.

[33] G. Huisken and C. Sinestrari. Mean curvature flow with surgeries of two-convex hypersurfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 175(1):137–221, 2009.

[34] T. Ilmanen. Generalized flow of sets by mean curvature on a manifold. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 41(3):671–705, 1992.

[35] T. Ilmanen. Convergence of the Allen-Cahn equation to Brakke's motion by mean curvature. *J. Differential Geom.*, 38(2):417–461, 1993.

[36] T. Ilmanen. Elliptic regularization and partial regularity for motion by mean curvature. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 108(520):x+90, 1994.

[37] J. Lauer. Convergence of mean curvature flows with surgery. *ArXiv e-prints*, Feb. 2010.

[38] N. Q. Le and N. Sesum. The mean curvature at the first singular time of the mean curvature flow. *ArXiv e-prints*, Jan. 2010.

[39] N. Q. Le and N. Sesum. On the extension of the mean curvature flow. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2009.

[40] K. Lee and Y. Lee. Mean Curvature flow in Higher Co-dimension. *ArXiv e-prints*, Oct. 2008.

[41] G. M. Lieberman. *Second Order Parabolic Differential Equations.* World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1996.

[42] K. Mullins. Two-dimensional motion of idealised grain boundaries. *J. Appl. Phys.*, 27:900–904, 1956.

[43] M. Simon. Mean curvature flow of rotationally symmetric surfaces. *B.Sc. Thesis, Dept. of Math. ANU*, 1990.

[44] H. M. Soner and P. E. Souganidis. Singularities and uniqueness of cylindrically symmetric surfaces moving by mean curvature. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 18(5-6):859–894, 1993.

[45] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss. *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 32.

[46] M.-T. Wang. Mean curvature flows in higher codimension. In *Second International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians*, volume 4 of *New Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 275–283. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2004.

[47] B. White. The size of the singular set in mean curvature flow of mean-convex sets. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13(3):665–695, 2000.

[48] B. White. Evolution of curves and surfaces by mean curvature. *Proc. Int. Congr. Math.* , vol I (Bejing 2002) 525–538 Bejing 2002.

[49] B. White. The nature ofe singularities in mean curvature flow of mean-convex sets. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16:123–138 , 2003.

[50] B. White. A local regularity theorem for mean curvature flow. *Ann. of Math.* , 161(3):1487–1519, 2005.